High-level Vision

What are the tasks (is the scope) of high-level vision?

Vision as silent-movie understanding
- connecting to common-sense knowledge
- understanding goal-oriented behaviour
- vision in context

Vision and acting
- robot vision
- goal-oriented vision, attention control
- spatial and temporal reasoning

Vision and learning
- discovering reoccurring patterns
- building models
- predicting from experience

Topics of High-Level Vision

- **Representing and recognizing structures consisting of several spatially and temporally related components (e.g. object configurations, situations, occurrences, episodes)**

- **Exploiting high-level knowledge and reasoning for scene prediction**

- **Understanding purposeful behaviour (e.g. obstacle avoidance, grasping and moving objects, behaviour in street traffic)**

- **Mapping between quantitative and qualitative descriptions**

- **Natural-language communication about scenes**

- **Learning high-level concepts from experience**

- **Connecting uncertain knowledge with logic-based reasoning**

Basic Building Blocks for High-level Scene Interpretation

high-level scene interpretations

scene models

context information

memory templates

vision memory

geometrical scene description (GSD)

image sequences of dynamic scenes

3

Basic Representational Units

scene	spatially and temporally coherent real-world section
geometrical scene description (GSD)	scene description in terms of object locations in an image sequence
scene interpretation	scene description in terms of instantiated scene models (object configurations, occurrences, episodes, purposive actions)
memory record	memorized scene interpretation incl. imagery
memory template	generalized substructure of memory records
scene model	conceptual unit for scene interpretation

4

Temporal Decomposition of Scenes

Temporal decomposition

- **by temporal segmentation:**
  constancies in time-dependent properties of an image sequence

- **by model matching:**
  occurrences which obey a model

Compare with spatial decomposition

- **by spatial segmentation:**
  image regions with spatially constant (uniform) properties

- **by model matching:**
  image regions which obey a model

5

Temporal Relations

Distinguish between relations based on

- *time points*
  - discrete $\quad T \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$
  - continuous $\quad T \in \Re$

- *time intervals* $\quad I_1$ "during" I_2

Distinguish between

- *quantitative* $\qquad T1 = T2 + 4$

- *qualitative* $\qquad T1$ "after" $T2$

relations

6

Interval Relations in Allen´s Algebra

─────── ────────────	BEFORE (I1, I2)	< >
─────── ────────────	MEETS (I1, I2)	m mi
────── ────────────	OVERLAPS (I1, I2)	o oi
──── ──────────	FINISHES (I1, I2)	f fi
────────── ────	STARTS (I1, I2)	s si
────── ────	DURING (I1, I2)	d di
──────────	EQUAL (I1, I2)	=

Convex Time-point Algebra

> Qualitative relations between time points which can be described by the inequality
>
> $$T1 + c \leq T2$$
>
> (T1, T2: time points; c: constant)

"Convex relation":

All intervals satisfying a convex relation can be generated by continuous displacements of the begin and end points of an interval

In Allen´s Algebra:

convex relation e.g.   d v m   – – – – – – –

non-convex relation e.g.   b v bi   – – – –   – – – –

Perceptual Primitives

What are basic attributes for the description and temporal segmentation of a time-varying scene?

Experiment: Natural-language traffic scene description
(imagine the report of an accident witness)

"A white Golf approached the pedestrian crossing from the left. A pedestrian turned off the side walk and crossed the street about 2 meters behind the pedestrian crossing. A red BMW turned into the street from the right and flashed its lights. In the middle of the street the pedestrian stopped, turned around and waved to a woman on the side walk. The white golf braked but hit the pedestrian. The pedestrian flew through the air. The red BMW turned to the right to avoid the pedestrian and hit a tree. The woman laughed."

**The description is based on geometric and photometric attributes and their temporal derivatives:**
- distance, angle, shape, size
- brightness, colour

(+ change of distance, change of angle, change of shape, etc.)

9

Qualitative Predicates for Modelling and Recognizing Occurrences

Simple durative predicates applied to perceptual primitives:
- **constant value**
- **value within certain interval**
- **value smaller / larger than threshold**

object A moves straight ahead

object B turns

distance between objects A and B gets smaller

object A nearby object B

t

10

5

Example: Criminal Act Recognition

Work by Somboon Hongeng

1. **Video is segmented into primitive occurrences**
2. **Complex occurrence is established if primitive occurrences meet temporal constraints**

Qualitative Predicates for Occurrences in Traffic Scenes

Results of project NAOS: "Natural-language description of object motions in traffic scenes"

exist
move
decelerate, accelerate
turn_left, turn_right
increasing_distance, reducing_distance
along, across
in_front_of, behind, beside
on, above, under, below
at, near_to
between
(and others)

Note that qualitative predicates are often (but must not be) part of natural language.

For technical applications one may use technical (artificial) qualitative predicates, e.g.

v50 (= $45 \leq v \leq 55$ km/h)

shape_x (= shape_index ≤ 4.174)

Occurrence Models

Basic ingredients:
- relational structure
- taxonomy
- partonomy
- spatial relational language
- temporal relational language
- object appearance models

- An occurrence model describes a class of occurrences by
  - properties
  - sub-occurrences (= components of the occurrence)
  - relations between sub-occurrences

- A primitive occurrence model consists of
  - properties
  - a qualitative predicate

- Each occurrence has a begin and end time point

13

Occurrence Model for Overtaking in Street Traffic

Predicate:	ueberholen
	:is-a occurrence-model
	:local-name ue
Arguments:	(?veh1 :is-a vehicle)
	(?veh2 :is-a vehicle)
Time marks:	(ue.B ue.E)
Component events:	(mv1 :is-a (move ?veh1 mv1.B mv1.E))
	(mv2 :is-a (move ?veh2 mv2.B mv2.E))
	(bh :is-a (behind ?veh1 ?veh2 bh.B bh.E))
	(bs :is-a (beside ?veh1 ?veh2 bs.B bs.E))
	(bf :is-a (before ?veh1 ?veh2 bf.B bf.E))
	(ap :is-a (approach ?veh1 ?veh2 ap.B ap.E))
	(rc :is-a (recede ?veh1 ?veh2 rc.B rc.E))
Temporal relations:	(ue.B = bh.B)
	(ue.E = bf.E)
	(ap :during mv1)
	(ap :during mv2)
	(rc :during mv1)
	(rc :during mv2)
	(bh :overlaps bs)
	(bs :overlaps bf)
	(bh :during ap)
	(bf :during rc)

14

Occurrence Model for
Transport Vehicle Behaviour

The occurrence model *transport-load* describes the regular unloading procedure of an automatic transport vehicle

Predicate:	**transport-load**
	:is-a occurrence-model
	:local-name tl
Arguments:	**(?dtv :is-a stacker)**
	(?rm :is-a room)
	(?stat :is-a station)
Time marks:	**(tl.B tl.E)**
Component events:	**(er :is-a (enter-room ?rm ?dtv er.B er.E))**
	(fs :is-a (free-station ?stat fs.B fs.E))
	(ul :is-a (unload ?dtv ?stat ul.B ul.E))
	(ex :is-a (exit-room ?rm ?dtv ex.B ex.E))
Temporal relations:	**(tl.B + 10 ≤ tl.E)**
	(tl.E - 12 ≤ tl.B)
	(er :before ul)
	(ul :before ex)
	(ul :starts-within fs)
	(tl.B = er.B)
	(tl.E 0 ex.B)

Occurrence Model for Placing a Cover

Table-laying scenario of project CogVis:

Stationary cameras observe living room scene and recognize meaningful occurrences, e.g. placing a cover onto the table.

|	
name:	**place-cover**
parents:	**:is-a agent-activity**
parts:	**pc-pl :is plate**
	pc-sc :is saucer
	pc-cp :is cup
	pc-tt :is table-top
	pc-tp1 :is (transport with (tp-obj :is plate))
	pc-tp2:is (transport with (tp-obj :is saucer))
	pc-tp3 :is (transport with (tp-obj :is cup))
	pc-cv :is cover
time marks:	**pc-tb, pc-te :is timepoint**
constraints:	**pc-tp1.tp-ob = pc-cv.cv-pl = pc-pl**
	pc-tp2.tp-ob = pc-cv.cv-sc = pc-sc
	pc-tp3.tp-ob = pc-cv.cv-cp = pc-cp
	pc-cv.cv-tb ≥ pc-tp1.tp-te
	pc-cv.cv-tb ≥ pc-tp2.tp-te
	pc-cv.cv-tb ≥ pc-tp3.tp-te
	pc-tp3.tp-te ≥ pc-tp2.tp-te
	pc-tb ≤ pc-tp1.tb
	pc-tb ≤ pc-tp2.tb
	pc-tb ≤ pc-tp3.tb
	pc-te ≥ pc-cv.cv-tb
	pc-te ≥ pc-tb + 80Δt

Parts Structure

- **associational structure between aggregats and their parts**
- **probabilistic information may be added**

Concept Hierarchy

- **specialisation hierarchy**
- **nodes are concept expressions**
- **multiple inheritance**

Relational Structure for Placing-a-cover

Model-based Interpretation

Temporal Constraint Net for Convex Time-Point Algebra

Unary temporal constraints: $\quad$ Tmin ≤ T ≤ Tmax

Binary temporal constraints: $\quad$ T1 + c ≤ T2

Convex interval relations may be expressed by inequalities:

I1 during I2 $\quad$ => $\quad$ I2.B ≤ I1.B, I1.E ≤ I2.E

The temporal relations of an occurrence model are represented by a constraint net:

For general models one typically has
Tmin = - ∞, Tmax = ∞

Occurrence Recognition by Constraint Propagation (1)

Matching an occurrence model with a time-varying scene:

1. **Initialize constraint net of occurrence model**

2. **Compute qualitative scene predicates**

z.B. $\quad$ (move obj1 13 47)
(behind obj1 obj2 20 33)
...

Occurrence Recognition by Constraint Propagation (2)

3. **Instantiate predicates (sub-occurrences) in occurrence model**

   propagate minima and maxima of time points through constraint net:

   - minima in edge direction   T2min´= max {T2min, T1min+c}

   - maxima against edge direction   T1max´= min {T1max, T2max-c}

   <u>Example</u>: MV in scene instantiates mv1of model

Occurrence Recognition by Constraint Propagation (3)

4. **Consistency and completeness test**

   A (partially) instantiated model is inconsistent, if for any node T one has: Tmin > Tmax

   => search for alternative instantiations or terminate with failure

   An occurrence has been recognized if the occurrence model is instantiated with sufficient completeness and the instantiation is consistent.


   Note:

   · <u>Incremental</u> occurrence recognition follows an evolving scene

   · <u>A-posteriori</u> occurrence recognition is carried out after observing a scene (choice of order)

   · **Partially instantiated models may be used for scene <u>prediction</u>**

Convergence and Complexity

Consider <u>cycles</u> in constraint net:

$$\sum_i c_i > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{model is inconsistent}$$

$$\sum_i c_i \leq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{consistency test complete after one cycle}$$

- a consistency test of M binary constraints requires M steps (~ number of edges in constraint net)

- for occurrence recognition, a consistency test must be carried out for each instantiation of each of the N model nodes

- number of edges in model is ~ N^2

=> complexity of occurrence recognition is $O(N^3)$

- complexity may increase with alternative instantiations

Generalization of Temporal Relations

In principle, the constraint propagation procedure may be applied to arbitrary temporal relations.

<u>Requirement</u>:

**Compute extreme values T2min´ and T2max´ from T1min, T1max and r**

$$T2min´ = max \{T2min, \min_{\substack{T1min \leq T1 \leq T1max \\ T2min \leq T2 \leq T2max}} r(T1, T2) \}$$

$$T2max´ = min \{T2max, \max_{\substack{T1min \leq T1 \leq T1max \\ T2min \leq T2 \leq T2max}} r(T1, T2) \}$$

Recognizing Intentions and Plans

Intention recognition in soccer games (Retz-Schmidt 91):

"Brandt dribbelt, um dem gegnerischen Tor nahe zu kommen"

("Brandt dribbles to get close to the opposing goal")

"Meier läuft zu Brandt, um ihn am Torschuß zu hindern"

("Meier runs to Brandt to prevent him from shooting a goal")

- model-based representation of plans and counter plans
- partial instatiation allows predictions and explanations

Intention recognition has been used in robot soccer (RoboCup)


Definition of Planning

Planning is the construction of an action sequence which transforms an initial state into a goal state.

Example:

Search results in the plan:

move (C, Table)
move (B, C)
move (A, B)

initial state

goal state

Plan Recognition

<u>Given:</u>
- observed actions
- knowledge about likely goals of actor

➡ **predict further actions**

➡ **plan own actions (cooperative or adversary)**

<u>Example</u> ("smart room" or service robotics scenario):

   Observations: tea-time: person gets up - person walks to door - ...

   Predictions: ... - person goes to kitchen - person prepares tea

Plan recognition by

- **matching partial action sequences to plan models
  (same principle as occurrence recognition)**

- **generating likely plans from the initial action sequence**

Models for Intention Recognition

Intended actions may be described by aggregates which connect observable actions with (unobservable) intentions of an actor.

```
name:       scene-intended-place-cover
parents:    :is-a scene-intended-action
parts:      sipc-pc :is-a scene-place-cover
            sipc-ag :is-a scene-agent
                    with (sipc-ag.desire = sipc-pc.goal)
            constraints:  (temporal, spatial and other constraints on parts)
```

agent
- activity
- desire

goal-directed action
- activity
- goal

intended-action
- agent
- goal-directed action

If an action is known to be goal-directed and an agent performs such an action, the agent is ascribed the intention to attain the goal.

From Scene Data to a
Natural-language Scene Description

natural-language scene description

⬆

case frames

⬆

occurrences

⬆

primitive occurrences

⬆

perceptual primitives

⬆

geometrical scene description (GSD)

Geometrical Scene Description (GSD)

Quantitative description of all objects in a time-varying scene:

- **name of all objects (class or identity)**
- **position of all objects at all times (location and orientation)**
- **illumination (if required for high-level description)**

Example: Synthetical street scene of project NAOS

## Typical Data of a GSD

```
                 location          orientation      time
(LAGE VW2 (779. 170. 0.) (-1.0 0.0 0.0) 0)
(LAGE VW2 (753. 170. 0.) (-1.0 0.0 0.0) 1)
(LAGE VW2 (727. 170. 0.) (-1.0 0.0 0.0) 2)
(LAGE VW2 (701. 170. 0.) (-1.0 0.0 0.0) 3)
(LAGE VW2 (675. 170. 0.) (-1.0 0.0 0.0) 4)
(LAGE VW2 (649. 170. 0.) (-1.0 0.0 0.0) 5)
(LAGE VW2 (623. 170. 0.) (-0.999 0.037 0.0) 6)
(LAGE VW2 (596. 171. 0.) (-1.0 0.0 0.0) 7)
(LAGE VW2 (570. 171. 0.) (-1.0 0.0 0.0) 8)
(LAGE VW2 (544. 171. 0.) (-1.0 0.0 0.0) 9)
(LAGE VW2 (518. 171. 0.) (-0.999 0.0383 0.0) 10)
(LAGE VW2 (492. 172. 0.) (-1.0 0.0 0.0) 11)
(LAGE VW2 (466. 172. 0.) (-1.0 0.0 0.0) 12)
(LAGE VW2 (440. 172. 0.) (-0.999 0.0383 0.0) 13)
(LAGE VW2 (414. 173. 0.) (-1.0 0.0 0.0) 14)
(LAGE VW2 (388. 173. 0.) (-0.999 0.037 0.0) 15)
(LAGE VW2 (361. 174. 0.) (-1.0 0.0 0.0) 16)
(LAGE VW2 (335. 174. 0.) (-0.999 0.038 0.0) 17)
.
.
.
```

33

Hierachy for Object Motions in Street Traffic

34

Generating a Natural-language Description

Principle: sentence

⬆

case frame   } techniques of language-oriented AI

⬆

occurrence

Problems:

- Which occurrences should be selected for verbalization?
- Which deep cases should be filled?
- Which addtitional time or location information is required?
- In which order should the information be presented?

Solution:

Speech planning based on hearer simulation

informing a hearer <=> enabling a hearer to imagine the scene

# Standard Plan for Generating Natural-language Scene Descriptions

- rules which assure that the hearer will be able to image the scene
- summary + descriptions of all object trajectories, each in chronological order
- no explicit hearer simulation

Description of an object trajectory

1. Each time interval is described by the most special occurrence
2. The first occurrence begins at the beginning of the scene
3. The next occurrence follows in temporal order
4. Location information is given my prepositional expressions as required
5. Temporal information is given by prepositional expressions or references to other occurrences as required

Example of an Automatically Generated Traffic Scene Description

DIE SZENE ENTHAELT DREI BEWEGTE OBJEKTE: ZWEI PKWS UND EINEN LKW.

EIN GELBER PKW FAEHRT IN RICHTUNG HALLERPLATZ. DABEI UEBERHOLT ER DEN LKW AUF DER SCHLUETERSTRASSE. DER GELBE PKW RAST VON DER ALTEN POST VOR DAS GELBE HAUS. ER ERREICHT DIE HARTUNGSTRASSE. ER HAELT AN. ER HAELT.

EIN SCHWARZER PKW ERREICHT DIE SCHLUETERSTRASSE. ER NAEHERT SICH DEM LKW VON DER ALTEN POST. DER SCHWARZE PKW FAEHRT IN RICHTUNG HALLERSTRASSE.

DER LKW FAEHRT VON DER ALTEN POST VOR DAS GRUENE HAUS. DABEI STOPPT ER VOR IHM. ER HAELT. ER FAEHRT IN RICHTUNG DAMMTOR WEITER. ER ENTFERNT SICH VON DEM GELBEN PKW. DER LKW HAELT AN. ER HAELT.

37

Selecting Prepositions for Trajectory Location Description

Idea: Simulate natural language conventions by algorithms

In CITYTOUR (Wahlster 87) location expressions are generated depending on the trajectory of the observer:

38