## Pattern-directed Inference Systems



## Rules for Knowledge Representation

Rule-based knowledge representation is useful for specifying inference steps in a declarative way.

Example (scene interpretation):

| If | (region.color $=$ green $)$ and $($ region.location $=$ picture-bottom $)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| then | $($ region.type $=$ grass) |

Rules may express different types of reasoning:

| premise | $\longrightarrow$ conclusion | logical implication <br> inference from given preconditions <br> antecedence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| evidence | $\longrightarrow$ consequence | hypothesis |
| situation | $\longrightarrow$ action | interpretation of facts <br> situated behaviour |
| IF | $\longrightarrow$ THEN | informal paraphrase <br> can mean anything |
| left-side | $\longrightarrow$ right-side |  |

Rules typically refer to a frame-based knowledge base.

## Rule-based Programming Language

Experimental Al programming language PLANNER (Hewitt, 1972)
Rules have format:
IF GIVEN <extensional data> THEN CONCLUDE <intensional data> IF WANTED <intensional data> THEN FIND <extensional data>

| Data <br> (THASSERT (IN BIRD CAGE)) (THASSERT (IN TABLE ROOM)) (THASSERT (IN CHAIR ROOM)) (THASSERT (IN FLOWER VASE)) (THASSERT (ON CAGE TABLE)) (THASSERT (ON VASE TABLE)) | ```Consequent Theorems (THCONSE (X Y Z) (IN ?X ?Y) (THGOAL (IN !X ?Z) (THUSE NIL)) (THGOAL (IN !Z !Y)) (THCONSE (X Y Z) (IN ?X ?Y) (THGOAL (ON !X ?Z)) (THGOAL (IN !Z !Y))``` |
| :---: | :---: |
| Query <br> (THGOAL (IN FLOWER ROOM)) | The intensional data (IN FLOWER ROOM) is derived with the help of consequent theorems |

## Rule-based Expert Systems

Developed 1970-1985 to

- collect and preserve expert knowledge
- replace human experts by computer programs
- to automatically derive interesting knowledge.

Basic idea: Represent expert knowledge in terms of IF-THEN rules
Basic structure:


## Recognize-and-act Cycle



Determine applicable rules by matching the antecedent part (in case of forward-chaining) or the consequent part (in case of backward chaining) with data objects.

If more than one rule is applicable, invoke conflict resolution to select rule.

## Forward and Backward Chaining

Rule systems may support forward and/or backward inferencing


## Processing Steps of Recognize-and act Cycle

## Forward Chaining:

Repeat until all goals have been derived:
Determine rules which can be applied based on available facts
Select one of those rules
Apply rule, establish new facts

## Backward Chaining:

Repeat until all goals have been derived:
Determine rules which can be used to derive a goal
Select one of those rules
Apply rule, establish unsatisfied conditions as new goals

## Knowledge-based Diagnosis of a Car Problem



## Rule Selection

The order of execution cannot be completely controlled in a rule system. It is expected that the user abstracts from individual inference steps.

Rules are selected in a recognize-and-act cycle. If more than one rule can be applied, a "conflict resolution" process decides.

Conflict resolution strategies available in a typical rule system:

| - | prefer old facts (goals) | breadth-first search |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | prefer new facts (goals) | depth-first search |
| - | prefer more special rule | more special = more conditions |
| - | prioritize rules | e.g. by memory order (PROLOG) |
| - | use meta-rules | rules about rule selection |

## Conflict Resolution with Meta-rules (1)

Expert system for chemical spill treatment may have rules:
R1: If spill is sulfuric acid, apply treatment A
R2: If spill is acid, apply treatment B
Forward chaining may generate conflict set $\{\mathbf{R 1}, \mathbf{R} 2\}$.
Knowledge base may contain following facts:

- treatment $A$ is expensive, treatment $B$ is cheap.
- treatment $A$ is not dangerous, treatment $B$ is dangerous
- R1 has been entered by expert Miller, R2 by novice Johnson

How can rule selection be controlled in a reasonable way?

## Conflict Resolution with Meta-rules (2)

Meta-rules for conflict resolution:
R3: Prefer rules with less expensive treatment
R4: Prefer rules with less dangerous treatment
R5: Prefer rules entered by experts before rules entered by novices

Rules R4 and R5 recommend: R1 before R2
Rule 3 recommends: R2 before R1
\{R3, R4, R5\} is a meta-conflict set.
Meta-meta-rule for meta-conflict resolution:
R6: Prefer meta-rules entered by experts before meta-rules entered by novices.

In practical systems, one rarely needs more than $\mathbf{2}$ meta levels.

## Conflict Resolution by Prioritizing

Total order:
R1<R2 $<.$. < RN
Examples: • order by storage

- order by indexing

Partial order:
$\mathbf{R i}<\mathbf{R k}, \mathbf{R m}<\mathbf{R n}, \ldots$
Example: Rules are structured as a rule tree


## Conflict Resolution Based on Specialization Relations

Prefer most special rule

1. Compare non-instantiated rules

A rule R1 is more special than R2 if

- R1 has at least as many premises as R2
- each premise in R2 subsumes at least one premise in R1
- R1 and R2 are not identical

Example:
A, B, C, ... attributes
a, b, c, ... constants
X, Y, Z, ... variables
R1: $\{[\mathrm{A}$ a] [B e] [C X][D Y] => ...\}
R2: $\{[\mathrm{A} \mathrm{X}][\mathrm{B}$ e] [D Y] => ...\}
2. Comparison of instantiated rules

Analogous to 1), however no subsumption test for variables required

## Conflict Resolution Based on Data Seniority

Data may get time stamp from inference cycle.

- Prioritizing most recent data

Prefer rules whose instantiation involves recently generated data
=> work on new facts first

- Prioritize least oldest data

Prefer rules whose instantiation has younger elements than the oldest element of other rules
=> prefer rules which use the youngest facts

- Avoid rule repetition
- Avoid repeated instantiation


## The Rule System OPS5

OPS5 ("Official Production System, Version 5")

- developed at CMU 1980 .
- implementation language for successful expert systems (XCON, XSEL a.o.)
CLIPS
- reimplementation of OPS5 in C for NASA
- freeware

JESS

- reimplementation of OPS5 in Java
- freeware


## Rules in OPS5

```
Syntax of a rule in OPS5:
<rule>::= [P <rule-name> <antecedent> --> <consequent>]
<antecedent>::= {<condition>}
<condition> ::= <pattern> I-<pattern>
<pattern> ::= [<object> {^<attribute> <value>}]
<consequent> ::= {<action>}
<action> ::= [MAKE <object> {^<attribute> <value>}] I
    [MODIFY <pattern-number> {^<attribute> <value>}]
    [REMOVE <pattern-number>] I
    [WRITE {<value>}]
```

Example: "If there are 2 disks close to each other and with equal size, make them a wheel pair"


- depth-first search
- limited expressiveness for constraints


## RETE Algorithm in OPS5 (1)

A naive Implementation of the recognize-act cycle leads to unacceptably poor runtime performance except for small knowledge bases.

Improving efficiency:

1. Pattern matching only for a small section of working memory (WM)

Rule applications usually lead to small changes of the WM and the conflict set does not change drastically.
=> Store pattern matching results and check only changed WM elements in next recognize-act cycle.
2. Identical premises occuring in multiple rules must only be evaluated once Premises of different rules often share common conditions,
=> Analyze rules for common premises and optimal order of premise evaluation.

## RETE Algorithm in OPS5 (2)

Contruct a net [lat. rete] from the rules which holds code for premise evaluation at its nodes.


Net consists of unary nodes coding a condition on a single WM element, and binary nodes for relations between unary nodes.

Example of unary node: adding or deleting as input, and delivers as
 output elements which satisfy the conditions.

## RETE Algorithm in OPS5 (3)

Single element conditions may be combined by binary nodes.

Binary nodes store the WM elements received via the two input lines to generate all possible combinations (cross product).

The output of the last node representing a rule consists of tuples of WM elements satisfying the rule.


## RETE Algorithm in OPS5 (4)

Rules may be merged as long as their initial parts conincide.


Order of premises influences effectiveness of rule merging.

| Example for RETE Algorithm (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (P search-pyramid $\begin{array}{ll}\text { [c } \\ & \text { [b } \\ & {[p} \\ & ->\end{array}$ |  | ne <cube <br> ight >200] <br> colour << <br> part) | ^on <br> ellow w | e] <br> >> ^on | be1 | ht < 200] |
| Previous contents | time stamp | class | name | colour | weight | on |
| of WM: | 1 | cube | C1 | blue | 250 | table |
|  | 4 | cube | C2 | red | 100 | table |
|  | 6 | pyramid | P1 | yellow | 120 | table |
|  | 9 | pyramid | P2 | white | NIL | C1 |
|  | 12 |  |  |  | 300 | table |
| New data entered | to WM: | [15, brick, B2, blue, 280, NIL] |  |  |  |  |
| How does the RETE net compute changes of the conflict set? |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## The Expert Configuration System XCON

XCON has been developed in the early 80 's at CMU using OPS5. The task of XCON was to configure computer systems by Digital Equipment Company. XCON was the first commercially successful expert system.


## History:

- 1982 start of operations with 1000 rules, $\mathbf{7}$ min per configuration
- XCON earned money by avoiding configuration errors and delayed customer payment
- 1988 more than 10000 rules:
- average of 6 conditions per rule
- average of 5 tests per condition
- average of 4 actions per rule


## Typical Rule of XCON

(paraphrase, not in OPS5 rule language)

```
IF: THE MOST CURRENT ACTIVE CONTEXT IS ASSIGNING A POWER SUPPLY
    AND AN SBI MODULE OF ANY TYPE HAS BEEN PUT IN A CABINET
    AND THE POSITION IT OCCUPIES IN THE CABINET IS KNOWN
    AND THERE IS SPACE IN THE CABINET FOR A POWER SUPPLY
        AND THERE IS NO AVAILABLE POWER SUPPLY
        AND THE VOLTAGE AND FREQUENCY OF THE COMPONENTS IS KNOWN
THEN: FIND A POWER SUPPLY OF THAT VOLTAGE AND FREQUENCY
        AND ADD IT TO THE ORDER
```


## Input of XCON

Typical component list based on customer wishes:

COMPONENTS ORDERED:
1 SV-AXMMA-LA [packaged system]
FP780-AA [floating point accelerator]
DW780-AA [unibus adaptor]
BA11-KE
MS780-DC
MS780-CA
H9002-HA
H7111-A
H7112-A
REP05-AA
RP05-BA
TEE16-AE
TE16-AE
RK07-EA
DR11-B
LP11-CA
DZ11-F
DZ11-B
LA36-CE
[unibus expansion cabinet box]
[memory]
[memory controller]
[cpu expansion cabinet]
[clock battery backup]
[memory battery backup]
[single port disk drive]
[dual port disk drive]
[tape drive with formatter]
[tape drive]
[single port disk drive]
[direct memory access interface]
[line printer]
[multiplexer with panel]
[multiplexer]
[hard copy terminal]

## Example of a Configuration Run (1)

Numbers correspond to rule applications, lines show context transitions

```
MAJOR-SUBTASK-TRANSITION
    SET-UP
            UNBUNDLE-COMPONENTS
            NOTE-CUSTOMER-GENERATED-EXCEPTION
            NOTE-UNSUPPORTED-COMPONENTS
            CHECK-VOLTAGE-AND-FREQUENCY
            CHECK-FOR-TYPE-OR CLASS-CHANGES
            VERIFY-SBI-AND-MB-DEVICE-ADEQUACY
                    COUNT-SBI-MODULES-AND-MB-DEVICES
                    GET-NUMBER-OF-BYTES-AND-COUNT-CONTROLLERS
                    FIND-UBA-HBA-CAPACITY-AND-USE
                    VERIFY-MEMORY-ADEQUACY
                    PARTITION-MEMORY
            ASSIGN-UB-MODULES-EXCEPT-THOSE-CONNECTING-TO-PANELS
            VERIFY-UB-MODULES-FOR-DEVICES-CONNECTING-TO-PANELS
                    FIND-ATTRIBUTE-OF-TYPE-IN-SYSTEM
                    VERIFY-COMPONENT-OF-SYSTEM
            NOTE-POSSIBLY-FORGOTTEN-COMPONENTS
            CHECK-FOR-MISSING-ESSENTIAL-COMPONENTS
MAJOR-SUBTASK-TRANSITION
    DELETE-UNNEEDED-ELEMENTS-FROM-WM
    FILL-CPU-OR-CPUX-CABINET
    ADD-UBAS
    ASSIGN-POWER-SUPPLY
```


## Example of a Configuration Run (2)

| 251. | ADD-MBAS |
| :--- | :---: |
| 252. | DISTRIBUTE-MB-DEVICES |
| 260. | ASSIGN-SLAVES-TO-MASTERS |
| 269. | ASSIGN-POWER-SUPPLY |
| 272. | FILL-MEMORY-SLOTS |
| 278. | SHIFT-BOARDS |
| 298. | ADD-MEMORY-MODULE-SIMULATORS |
| 306. | ASSIGN-POWER-SUPPLY |
| 312. | FILL-CPU-SLOTS |
| 318. | ASSIGN-POWER-SUPPLY |
| 322. | ADD-NECESSARY-SIMULATORS |
| 326. | DELETE-TEMPLATES |
| 340. | DELETE-UNNEEDED-ELEMENTS-FROM-WM |
| 353. | FILL-CPU-OR-CPUX-CABINET |
| 356. | ADD-MBAS |
| 359. | ASSIGN-POWER-SUPPLY |
| 382. | ADD-UBAS |
| 384. | FILL-MEMORY-SLOTS |
| 388. | SHIFT-BOARDS |
| 389. | ADD-MEMORY-MODULES-SIMULATORS |
| 398. | ASSIGN-POWER-SUPPLY |
| 399. | TERMINATE-SBI |
| 402. | ADD-NECESSARY-SIMULATORS |
| 406. | DELETE-TEMPLATES |
| 415. | MAJOR-SUBTASK-TRANSITION |
| 417. | GENERATE-OPTIMAL-SEQUENCE |

## Example of a Configuration Run (3)

| 436. | ASSIGN-UBAS-TO-BOXES-TO-CABINETS |
| :--- | :---: |
| 438. | ASSIGN-UBAS-TO-BOXES |
| 441. | ATTRIBUTE-BOXES-AMONG-CABINETS |
| 442. | SET-UP-FOR-BOX-ASSIGNMENTS |
| 446. | ASSIGN-BOXES-TO-CABINETS |
| 452. | COMPUTE-DISTANCES-FROM-UBAS-TO-BOXES |
| 458. | SET-SEQUENCING-MODE |
| 462. | FILL-BOXES |
| 465. | FILL-HALF-BOXES |
| 468. | SELECT-BOX-AND-UB-MODULE-FOR-NEXT-SU |
| 470. | ASSIGN-BACKPLANE-TO-BOX |
| 474. | GENERATE-SLOT-TEMPLATES |
| 478. | PUT-UB-MODULE |
| 482. | LEAVE-BACKPLAN |
| 485. | AUGMENT-UB-LENGTH |
| 488. | GET-UB-JUMPER |
| 491. | CHECK-NEED-FOR-UB-REPEATER |
| 497. | SELECT-BOX-AND-UB-MODULE-FOR-NEXT-SU |
| 501. | ASSIGN-BACKPLANE-TO-BOX |
| 505. | GENERATE-SLOT-TEMPLATES |
| 510. | PUT-UB-MODULE |
| 518. | ADD-SUBOPTIMAL-UB-MODULE |
| 527. | LEAVE-BACKPLANE |
| 540. | AUGMENT-UB-LENGTH |
| 543. | GET-UB-JUMPER |
| 547. | CHECK-NEED-FOR-UB-REPEATER |
| 553. | LEAVE-HALF-BOX |

## Example of a Configuration Run (4)

559. 
560. 
561. 
562. 
563. 
564. 
565. 
566. 
567. 
568. 
569. 
570. 
571. 
572. 
573. 
574. 
575. 
576. 
577. 
578. 
579. 
580. 
581. 
582. 

CHECK-FOR-UB-JUMPER-CHANGES
CHECK-TERMINATION-CONDITIONS
SELECT-BOX-AND-UB-MODULE-FOR-NEXT-SU
ASSIGN-BLACKPLANE-TO-BOX
GENERATE-SLOT-TEMPLATES PUT-UB-MODULE

ASSOCIATE-MULTIPLEXER-WITH-PANEL-SLOT ASSOCIATE-MULTIPLEXER-WITH-PANEL-SLOT ASSOCIATE-MULTIPLEXER-WITH-PANEL-SLOT ADD-SUBOPTIMAL-UB-MODULE
ASSOCIATE-MULTIPLEXER-WITH-PANEL-SLOT ADD-SUBOPTIMAL-UB-MODULE
LEAVE-BACKPLANE
AUGMENT-UB-LENGTH
GET-UB-JUMPER
CHECK-NEED-FOR-UB-REPEATER
LEAVE-HALF-BOX
CHECK-FOR-UB-JUMPER-CHANGES
CHECK-TERMINATION-CONDITIONS
SELECT-BOX-AND-UB-MODULE-FOR-NEXT-SU
ASSIGN-BACKPLANE-TO-BOX
GENERATE-SLOT-TEMPLATES
PUT-UB-MODULE
ASSOCIATE-MULTIPLEXER-WITH-PANEL-SLOT ASSOCIATE-MULTIPLEXER-WITH-PANEL-SLOT
LEAVE-BACKPLANE
AUGMENT-UB-LENGTH

## Example of a Configuration Run (5)

CHECK-FOR-UB-JUMPER-CHANGES
CHECK-TERMINATION-CONDITIONS ASSIGN-UB-JUMPER-CABLES-TO-BOX LEAVE-HALF-BOX

CHECK-FOR-UB-JUMPER-CHANGES
CHECK-TERMINATION-CONDITIONS ASSIGN-UB-JUMPER-CABLES-TO-BOX
ACCEPT-UNIBUS-CONFIGURATION
MAJOR-SUBTASK-TRANSITION
ASSIGN-TERMINALS-TO-LINES
PUT-PANELS-IN-UBX-CABINET
MAKE-TERMINAL-ASSIGNMENT

## MAJOR-SUBTASK-TRANSITION

LAY-OUT-SYSTEM
FIND-FLOOR-RANKINGS DETERMINE-FLOOR-POSITIONS

DETERMINE-FLOOR-POSITIONS-OF-CABINETS
DETERMINE-FLOOR-POSITIONS-OF-DEVICES
DETERMINE-FLOOR-POSITIONS-OF-SLAVES
DETERMINE-FLOOR-POSITIONS-OF-DEVICES DETERMINE-FLOOR-POSITIONS-OF-DEVICES DETERMINE-FLOOR-POSITIONS-OF-DEVICES DETERMINE-FLOOR-POSITIONS-OF-DEVICES

## Example of a Configuration Run (6)

| 974. | COMPUTE-CABLE-LENGTHS |
| ---: | :---: |
| 1021. | FIND-LENGTHS-OF-CABLES-IN-ORDER |
| 1135. | ASSIGN-CABLES |
| 1179. | FIND-LENGTHS-OF-CABLES-IN-ORDER |
| 1183. | FIND-LENGTHS-OF-CABLES-IN-ORDER |
| 1187. | FIND-LENGTHS-OF-CABLES-IN-ORDER |
| 1192. | NOTE-POSSIBLY-FORGOTTEN-COMPONENT |
| 1198. | GENERATE-COMPONENT-NUMBERS-FOR-CABLES |
| 1248. | GENERATE-OUTPUT |

The trace shows the complexity of the resulting process.
The context structure has been forced onto the process against the spirit of the data-driven operations of rule-based systems.

## Example of a OPS5 Rule in XSEL

XSEL has been developed 1980-1982 by CMU for DEC as a companion system for XCON. The task was to support salespersons acquiring customer wishes.
[p capacity-specified:1:adjust-requirement
if memory capacity was ordered on the same line as the system [e.g. system with 4 meg of memory], then assume the user wants the requirement in total and not in addition to what is returned as part of the system, therefore adjust the requirement
[context ^${ }^{\wedge}$ status active ^${ }^{\wedge}$ cname capacity-specified]
[line-item ^status input ^class memory ^name nil ^units kilobytes
$\wedge$ kilobytes $\left\{<\right.$ required>>0 ${ }^{\wedge}$ ^token <token>]
[line-item ^status pending ^class system ^parse-token <token>]
[bus-node ^class memory ^name <device> ^ordered <count>]
[local ^information count-memory-capacity ${ }^{\wedge}$ source <device>]
[component ^status reference ^name <device> ^number-of-kilobytes <kb>]
-->
[bind <ordered> [compute <quantity> * <kb>]]
[bind <difference> [compute <required> - <ordered>]]
[remove 3]
[modify 2 ^kilobytes <difference>]
[make local ^type temporary ^context capacity-specified
^information count-memory-capacity ^source <device>]]

## Example for RETE Algorithm (2)

[15, brick, B2, blue, 280, NIL]


