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1.0 ABSTRACT

The automatic interpretation of time-varying scenes requires symbolic descriptions of the images in a sequence. Symbolic descriptions can be formalized by relational structures, representing properties of symbols and relationships between symbols. Two tasks are essential for the interpretation of image sequences:

* Grouping of primitive symbols into object descriptions.
* Establishing the correspondence relationship between symbols and objects from subsequent images in the scene.

Interpretation of real-world, outdoor scenes tends to produce such an amount of data that the systematic management of symbolic descriptions becomes mandatory. This paper introduces a dedicated database management system, specialized in storing and retrieving relational structures. It is especially designed to support the retrieval of inexact matching structures which are likely to occur when comparing images. The database runs on a separate processor embedded in a local minicomputer network.

This project is supported by the "Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft".
2.0 INTRODUCTION

Picture database systems are specialized on storing large amounts of pictures together with descriptive information [1]. Conventional database concepts are sufficient to access the pictures by queries related to their descriptions [2]. Enhancing the query language by graphical elements allows the users to include pictorial information directly in their queries. Chang and Fu built their Query-by-Pictorial-Example (QPE) [3] on top of Zloof's Query-by-Example (QBE) approach [4]. Applications in cartography often include special operators in the data manipulation language which compute relationships between picture objects such as distance between two points [5].

A different utilization of a database management system is its integration in a picture understanding system. Especially the analysis of real-world time-varying imagery generates such an amount of image describing data structures, that their systematic management with the help of a database becomes mandatory. Usually, a symbolic description of each image is resulting from some kind of a segmentation process. Primitive symbols such as regions, boundary segments, points etc. are grouped together into object and scene models. This grouping can be guided by a-priori knowledge, formulated by specifying prototypes of objects and scenes, e.g. following the paradigm of hierarchical synthesis [6] [7]. Moreover, in image sequence analysis a basic relationship between symbols and objects from different images has to be computed, the correspondence relation. Correspondence between and grouping of symbols are prerequisites for image sequence understanding.

The association of symbols with their properties and the inter-symbol (geometrical, hierarchical, correspondence, ...) relationships are formalized as relations. Relational structures are collections of tuples from those relations which describe a distinct entity, e.g. an object model [8]. Instantiation of objects, given a prototype as a relational structure, or the comparison of image descriptions in order to establish correspondence between symbols, can be understood as a matching of relational structures. In real-world scenes, this matching is usually inexact and incomplete.

Storing and retrieving of relations is well supported by existing database concepts. Image sequence analysis will benefit from a database system if it
supports also the storage of relational structures (cf. the entity-relationship model [9]) and the retrieval of relational structures which match a given template most closely. We call this kind of retrieval "Query by Structure Example" (QSE).

3.0 RELATIONAL STRUCTURES

A set \( C \) contains all elements - symbol identifiers, attribute values - which are needed for the description of an image sequence and of object prototypes. 

\( R_1, \ldots, R_n \) are relations defined on \( C \), where each element of \( R_i \) is an \( t_i \)-ary tuple:

\[
R_i \subseteq C^{t_i}.
\]

\( RS = [C, \langle R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n \rangle] \)

denotes a relational structure. The tuple \( \langle t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n \rangle \) is the type of \( RS \) which indicates for each relation in the structure the number of its components.

Matching of relational structures is reformulated as the task of finding a mapping between both structures; such a mapping will be called a RS-morphism [10]. Let

\[
RS' = [C', \langle R'_1, R'_2, \ldots, R'_n \rangle] \]

be a homologous relational structure. To compare both structures, e.g. for the purpose of change detection between two picture objects, mappings between the two relational structures have to be generated. To facilitate the computation of these mappings, and to select eventually the best of them according to some criterion to be defined, they are restricted in some natural way. Each mapping

\( \phi: RS \rightarrow RS' \)

is a many-to-one, in general. The mapping \( \phi \) is composed of several submappings. Since it is only meaningful to associate tuples of a relation in \( RS \) with tuples
of the same kind of relation in \( RS' \), \( n \) disjoint submappings are

\[
\varphi_{R_1} : R_1 \rightarrow R'_1 \ldots \varphi_{R_n} : R_n \rightarrow R'_n
\]

The set \( C \) - as well as \( C' \) - can be decomposed into two subsets \( CK \) and \( CQ \). \( CK \) contains the unique keys by which symbols are identified. \( CQ \) holds values of attributes which assign some kind of quality to the relations where applicable, e.g. SMALLER (region1, region2, fraction). \( CK \) may be further split into disjoint subsets each containing only symbol identifiers of the same class, e.g. regions or line segments.

\[
CK_{S_{11}} = \{SI_{11}, \ldots, SI_{1N}\} \ldots CK_{S_{1k}} = \{SI_{k1}, \ldots, SI_{kN}\}.
\]

Between the sets \( C \) and \( C' \), \( \varphi \) maps only the symbol identifiers such as

\[
\varphi_{S_{11}} : CK_{S_{11}} \rightarrow CK'_{S_{11}} \ldots \varphi_{S_{1k}} : CK_{S_{1k}} \rightarrow CK'_{S_{1k}}.
\]

For the attribute values appropriate compatibility functions

\[
\theta : CQ \cup CQ' \rightarrow [0,1]
\]

are defined which decide if mapping of two tuples is allowed with respect to their attribute value components and a chosen threshold \( \theta \).

In general, each \( RS \)-morphism is a mapping-triple of

\[
\varphi : < \varphi_R, \varphi_K, \theta_q >
\]

and the following condition holds

\[
t' = \varphi_R(t), si' = \varphi_K(si) \text{ and } \theta_i (..., ch\ldots, ch',...) > \theta_i,
\]

where \( t = (... , si, ... , ch, ...) \in R \), \( t' = (... , si', ... , ch', ...) \in R' \),

\( si \in CK \), \( si' \in CK' \), \( ch \in CQ \) and \( ch' \in CQ' \).

\( \theta_i \) and \( \theta_q \) are the compatibility function and the threshold for the i-th
relation, respectively.

When comparing two relational structures $RS$ and $RS'$ this formal definition means

* Every tuple of a relation in $RS$ is mapped to at most one tuple in $RS'$ which has the same number of components due to the homology of $RS$ and $RS'$.
* Mapped tuples are compatible with respect to their attribute values.
* The mapping of symbols, induced by the mapping of tuples, is a many-to-one mapping, too.

Since the definition of $RS$-morphisms is still too general for the intended application, it is specialized in the following way:

* $RS$-homomorphisms map all tuples of all relations in $RS$ to some tuples of all relations in $RS'$; the whole structure $RS$ is part of $RS'$.
* $RS$-monomorphisms are one-to-one $RS$-homomorphisms. Structure $RS$ corresponds exactly with a substructure of $RS'$.
* $RS$-isomorphisms are $RS$-monomorphisms with a monomorphistic inverse mapping. It is a bijective one-to-one mapping $t_j \leftrightarrow t'_j$ with $t_j \in R_j$ and $t'_j \in R'_j$.
* $RS$-comorphisms are $RS$-monomorphisms of a subset $RS^* \leq RS$ into $RS'$ and the subset $RS^*$ is maximal. It is maximal if there exists no other $RS$-monomorphism $\Phi^* : RS^* \rightarrow RS'$ such that $RS^* \leq RS^*$:

$$RS^* \leq RS^* \leq RS \iff \forall i = 1..n : R^*_i \leq R^*_i \leq R_i.$$

To retrieve a relational structure from the database, the user program has to specify a relational structure $RS$ and the kind of $RS$-morphism to apply. The database system then will return all relational structures $RS'$ which it is able to match with $RS$. Especially incomplete and inexact matching structures are obtained searching for an $RS$-comorphism with compatibility thresholds $\Theta_i < 1$.

The most simple query-$RS$ consists of just one tuple of a relation. A complex $RS$ may contain the symbolic description of a whole image, and the database system is requested to find another stored image description with largest common substructures.
4.0 REQUIREMENTS ON THE DATABASE SYSTEM

The most simple transactions which are currently implemented, are elementary operations to handle single tuples. On this base the more complex transactions for storing and retrieving of complex relational structures will be implemented.

4.1 Elementary Functions

The use of our database system is demonstrated by the following example from the analysis of an image sequence [11]. The image of a moving car (Fig. 1) is marked by critical points. They are described by a relational structure containing only the relation LOCATION which assigns to each point a unique identifier, the image number, and its coordinates. Fig. 5 shows an ADA-type declaration of LOCATION. Corresponding points are linked together from image to image. A correspondence relation is added to the relational structure. It is visualized in Fig. 2. From the point sequences depth information is obtained (motion parallax) and the object - now represented as a cloud of 3D-points - is approximated by the convex hull of this cloud (Fig. 3). The convex hull is built from triangles. Their relational structures consist of a triangle-symbol, three attached sides of type line-segment and their endpoints of type location (Fig. 4a). To store and retrieve this kind of relational structures on a tuple-by-tuple basis, elementary functions are implemented:

* Insert and delete relations and tuples
* Modify tuples
* Retrieve tuples in sequential order or selected by primary keys.

The internal links of tuples allowing system defined sequential tuple access have to be updated after insertion or deletion. Each tuple gets a system defined primary key for identification (see Fig. 5: record component TID of type SYSTEMKEY). All operations except sequential retrieval utilize this identifying key for a fast access. Sequential transactions need an access-mode specification like "FIRST", "NEXT" or "LAST" [12].
4.2 Conceptual Database Scheme

A user relation, stored in the database, is described by a tuple of the data-directory relation. One component of the directory tuple references an attributed graph (see Fig. 6: DB-ATTRIBUTE) which is also stored as a relational structure. This graph describes the attributes of the user relation. It is automatically generated from the inspection of each application program. The user programs which interact with the database system are written in ADA. Our ADA-compiler generates the intermediate ADA-program representation according to the specifications of the DIANA [13] language. For each type declaration, also for record types which serve to declare a user relation, a DIANA-subtree has been created. These subtrees are stripped from unnecessary nodes (from the database point of view) and stored as part of the data-directory. The complete set of stored subtrees represent the conceptual database scheme which is used to guide the transformations between internal and external schemes.

An example declaration of an ADA-record in Fig. 5 is represented by the DIANA-subtree in Fig. 6. A compatibility check between the user-program view of a relation and the conceptual scheme will be performed by testing for a RS-isomorphism between the stored and the compiler generated subtree.

4.3 Memory Addresses And Primary Keys

The image analysis program which generated the Figs. 1 - 3 is written in PASCAL and now transformed to ADA. A typical representation of the triangle's relational structure in memory during program execution is depicted in Fig. 4b.

The triangle symbol is represented as a record whose components are a symbol identifier (TID4) and three pointers to three line segments (TID1, TID3, TID6) which constitute the sides. Each line segment in turn references its two endpoints (TID2, TID5 or TID7).

When transferring such a relational structure to the database the references which are memory addresses loose its meaning and have to be replaced by another figure identifier: the tuple identifier TID. The corresponding structure in
the database (see Fig. 4c) consists of three relations, here called LINE, LOCATION and TRIANGLE, with the same attributes as the records but the pointers replaced by the TID of those symbols the pointers referred to.

Within the relational structures, TID's serve as image identifiers for symbols. Furthermore, the value of a TID is determined by the database management system. This offers the opportunity to use this value as an indicator for the disk address where the identified tuple resides. Thus retrieval of an tuple by its TID is extremly fast.

4.4 Query By Structure Example

Our database system is not designed as a dialogue system for human interaction. Its only purpose is to relieve any image analysis program of transferring symbolic descriptions of images, models, prototypes, etc. between memory and background storage.

Storing a relational structure requires from the database system to replace pointers by TID's and to assign TID's to those tuples which enter the database the first time.

Retrieving a relational structure requires from the user program to provide an example structure RS and to indicate which kind of RS-morphism the database system should use to match RS with the stored relational structures RS'. A structure resulting from this mapping overwrites the query structure in the user memory. In doing so the TID's in referencing attributes are replaced by pointers so that the user program immediately is able to operate on the retrieved relational structure.

If the database system resides - as in our implementation - on a separate processor with its own disk, storing and retrieving can be performed in parallel with the execution of the user program, thus increasing the performance of the image analysis system.

The exchange of TID's with pointers is performed in the database processor.
This mapping is done by a composition of two binary, one-to-one relations.

We define the set of tuples belonging to a requested relational structure as

\[ T \in RS \]

and the set of addresses which reference the set \( T \) in the memory of a computer

\[ RT = T \times R \text{ with } R = \{ \text{adr} \mid \text{adr} \in [\text{addressrange}] \}. \]

Attributes which link the tuples of the relational structure are values from the domain \( RT \) which will be called \( D_{RT} \). In a distributed system this domain is different for every computer of the network. So \( D_{RT} \) will be marked by \( AP \) and \( DB \) to denote the application program computer and the database computer.

To build up an example structure the application program uses a standard procedure to create tuple representing records in its memory, say in the heap. The link of records by pointers causes all referencing attributes to get values from \( D_{RT}^{AP} \).

Now the database gets the transaction command. With

\[ \psi_{R} : D_{RT}^{AP} \rightarrow D_{RT}^{DB} \implies \psi_{R}(\text{adr}) = \text{adr}' \text{ and } (\text{adr} \in D_{RT}^{AP}, \text{adr}' \in D_{RT}^{DB}) \]

the database transfers the requested structure from the application program into the database computer. This projection \( \psi_{R} \) will be represented by an internal binary relation \( \Psi_{R} \) with key values from the domain \( D_{RT}^{AP} \).

Then the tuples will be examined whether they contain a value in their attribute \( systemkey \) from the domain

\[ TID: \{ \text{key} \mid \text{key} \in [\text{keyrange}] \} \]

where the interval \([\text{keyrange}]\) will be implementation defined (see 4.3). If there is a value it is assumed that the identified tuple is a member of the relational structure to be retrieved.
References in the stored data are from the domain $TID$ so that a new projection

$$\phi_K : D^{DB}_{RT} \to TID \Rightarrow \phi_K(adr') = \text{tid with } (adr' \in D^{DB}_{RT} \text{ and tid} \in TID$$

has to be created and represented by another binary relation $\psi_K$ with key values from the domain $D^{AP}_{RT}$. $\psi_R$ and $\psi_K$ can be composed to give

$$\psi : D^{AP}_{RT} \to TID \Rightarrow \psi(adr) = \text{tid}$$

which is a bijective projection, represented by a new relation $\psi$ which is created by a natural join over the attribute values from $D^{DB}_{RT}$. We choose the natural join operation to clarify that $\psi$ is a bijective projection, but in this special case an equi join also satisfies the bijectivity condition because $\psi_R$ and $\psi_K$ are bijective, too, during their existence at the time of a transaction.

To store a relational structure, $\psi$ is applied. To retrieve a relational structure, $\psi_R$ is applied to transfer the example structure from the application to the database processor and $\psi_K^{-1}$ reads candidate structures from the database into the database processor. After a successful match is found, $\psi_R^{-1}$ facilitates the transfer of the structure to the user program.

5.0 SYSTEM INTEGRATION

The programs for the analysis of image sequences are executed on a minicomputer network consisting of four DIETZ MINCAL 621x2. They are connected by a 16 bit parallel bus. The peripheral equipment allows the recording and digitization of TV-frames. Since application programs up to now have been written in PASCAL, the PASCAL runtime supports contains modules to control the video-periphery, the raster-scan display systems and the network communication. For user and system programs a rewriting from PASCAL to ADA is in progress.

One of the network computers is dedicated as a back-end processor for the database management system. It is equipped with its own 60 MByte disk and half a Megabyte of working memory. The physical separation of database system processes and user program - connected only by a message exchange facility -
eases program development of the database system. Moreover, a true advantageous parallel execution of a user program and database transactions is possible. This is an enormous improvement, since the time consuming search for inexact or incomplete matching structures can be left entirely to the database processor, when the Query-by-Structure-Example will be completely implemented. The database management system is designed as a bunch of interacting processes within the database computer [14]. Four fundamental processes are realized which

- handle the communication to the other network components,
- perform disk transfers,
- implement the storing at retrieving of relations and tuples,
- and monitor the database system.

A further process will

- analyse the DIANA representation of ADA application programs. All information relevant to the database system is extracted automatically from the program. A special data definition language becomes unnecessary. A next process will
- implement the search for RS-morphism [10, 15] between relational structures as the basis for the Query-by-Structure-Example.

Due to the modular design and the clearly defined interfaces between the processes, a gradual upgrading of the database management system is possible.
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Figure 1: original picture from an outdoor-scene

Figure 2: chains of correspondency
Figure 4b: triangle as net of records

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIANGLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tid4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tid1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tid3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tid6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tid2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tid5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tid7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4c: triangle as a set of relations
type SYSTEMKEY is new integer;

type LOCATION is record
  TID        : SYSTEMKEY;
  NUMBER     : NUMBERRANGE;
  LINE,      
  COLUMN     : COORDINATERANGE;
end record;

Figure 5: Example declaration in ADA

Figure 6: simplified DIANA-representation for tuple LOCATION
Figure 7: projection of references