## Constraints

## Basic Constraint Consistency Algorithm

## Given:

- Variables $\mathrm{V}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{N}}$, each with an associated domain $\operatorname{dom}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
- Constraint relations on various subsets of variables determine acceptable combinations of these variables.


## Consistency Algorithm:

A Of each domain, prune values which are ruled out by any of the constraints. => domain consistency
B Of each domain, prune values for which there are no corresponding values in each of the constraint relations. Repeat until no more values can be pruned. => arc consistency
C If one domain is empty there is no solution. If each domain has a single value, the values are a unique solution.
D If some domains have more than one value, the values may or may not be a solution. By repeatedly splitting a domain and solving the reduced constraint problem, all solutions can be obtained.
=> global consistency

## Constraint Evaluation for Stepwise Scene Interpretation

Incremental scene interpretation requires incremental constraint evaluation.
Case 1:
As a scene develops in time, which occurrences can be expected based on past occurrences and constraints relating to the future?
Case 2:
As objects of a scene are composed to tentative aggregates, what constraints are relevant for further parts?

Incremental constraint evaluation serves to reduce search space and remaining interpretation possibilities.

## Example 1:

In a traffic scene, a ball running across the street raises the expectation of a child following the ball.
Example 2:
Given constraints for the distance of table-leg positions, the space of possible positions is reduced as table-legs are recognised incrementally.

## Checking Temporal Constraints for Scene Interpretation

Variables: Time variables of an aggregate model
Domains: Time points covering the period of interest
Constraints: 1. Constraints imposed by aggregate model
2. Constraints arising from evidence

Example:
Aggregate model:


Scene:


## Constraint Net for Traffic-Light Violation



Domains: $\operatorname{dom}(A)=\operatorname{dom}(B)=\operatorname{dom}(C)=\operatorname{dom}(D)=\{$ 0:0:0 $\ldots$ 23:59:59 \}
\{ \}


Step 1: Obtain consistency for initial constraint net
Step 2: Observe $A=10: 05: 08$, prune dom(A), obtain consistency
Step 3: Observe $C=10: 05: 30$, prune dom(C), obtain consistency
Step 4: Observe $B=10: 05: 33$, prune dom(B), obtain consistency
Step 5: Observe $D=10: 05: 36$, prune dom( $D$ ), obtain consistency, no solution is possible

## Constraint Propagation in Convex Time-point Algebra

Variables:
Domain of a variable:
Constraints:
time variables range of integers inequalities with offset $\quad T_{i}+c_{i k} \leq T_{k}$

Graphical representation:


- Domains may always be represented by min- and max-values ("convexity property").
- An increase of a min-value affects only time variables connected in edge direction.
- A decrease of a max-value affects only time variables connected against edge direction.
- In a cycle-free constraint net with $\mathbf{N}$ variables, any change of a domain can be propagated in at most $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N}-1)$ steps.


## Constraint Propagation for Occurrence Recognition (1)

## Example:

Verify occurrence "two moving objects, one behind the other"

1. Initialize constraint net of occurrence model

2. Compute primitive events for scene

| ID: move1 <br> instance: move <br> parts: mv-ob = obj1 <br>  mv-tr = trj1 <br> times: mv-tb =13 <br>  mv-te = 47 | ID: behind1 <br> instance: <br> behind <br> parts: <br> bh-ob1 = obj1 <br> bh-obj2 =obj2  <br> times: bh-tb $=20$ <br> bh-te $=33$ <br>   |
| :---: | :---: |

## Constraint Propagation for Occurrence Recognition (2)

3. Instantiate parts in occurrence model

Propagate minima and maxima of time points through constraint net:

- minima in edge direction
- maxima against edge direction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{2 \min }^{\prime}=\max \left\{t_{2 \min }, t_{1_{\min }}+c_{12}\right\} \\
& t_{1_{\text {max }}}=\min \left\{t_{1_{\text {max }}}, t_{2 \text { max }}-c_{12}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example: move1 in scene instantiates mv1 of model

| ID: | move1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| instance: | move |
| parts: | mv-ob $=$ obj1 |
| times: | mv-tr $=$ trj1 <br> mv-tb $=13$ <br> mv-te $=47$ |



Animated slide!

## Constraint Propagation for Occurrence Recognition (3)

## 4. Consistency and completeness test

A (partially) instantiated model is inconsistent, if for any node T one has: $t_{\text {min }}>t_{\text {max }}$
=> search for alternative instantiations or terminate with failure
An occurrence has been recognized if the occurrence model is instantiated with sufficient completeness and the instantiation is consistent.

## Convex Time-point Algebra Constraint Nets with Cycles


$\mathrm{t} 1+\mathrm{c} 12 \leq \mathrm{t} 2 \quad \mathrm{t} 2+\mathrm{c} 23 \leq \mathrm{t} 3 \quad . . \mathrm{tk}+\mathrm{ck} 1 \leq \mathrm{t} 1$
$\Rightarrow c 12+c 23+\ldots+c k 1 \leq 0$
$\Sigma$ cik $\leq 0$ :
The edge Tk-T1 can be omitted without affecting the propagation results.
$\Sigma$ cik $>0$ :
Propagation will always lead to inconsistency, can be avoided alltogether.

Complexity of constraint propagation is not affected by cycles

## Basic Relations in Allen's Interval Algebra



## Composition Table for Interval Algebra (1)

For $I_{1} R_{12} I_{2}$ and $I_{2} R_{23} I_{3}$, the table specifies possible relations $I_{1} R_{13} I_{3}$. => enables spatial reasoning

|  | < | m | 0 | fi | di | si | $=$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<$ | < | < | < | < | < | < | < |
| m | < | < | < | < | < | m | m |
| 0 | < | < | < m o | < m o | < m o fi di | o fi di | 0 |
| fi | < | m | 0 | fi | di | oi mi > | fi |
| di | < m o fi di | o fi di | o fi di | di | di | di | di |
| si | < m o fi di | o fi di | o fi di | di | di | si | si |
| = | < | m | 0 | fi | di | si | = |
| s | < | < | < m o | < m o | < m o fi di | $\mathbf{s}=\mathbf{s i}$ | S |
| d | < | < | <mosd | $<\mathrm{mosd}$ | full | d f oi mi> | d |
| f | < | m | os d | $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{fi}$ | di si oi mi > | oi mi > | f |
| oi | < m o fi di | o fi di | o fi di si=s d f oi | di si oi | di si oi mi > | oi mi > | oi |
| mi | < m o fi di | $\mathbf{s}=\mathbf{s i}$ | d f oi | mi | $>$ | $>$ | mi |
| $>$ | full | d f oi mi > | d f oi mi > | > | > | > | > |

## Composition Table for Interval Algebra (2)

|  | = | S | d | f | oi | mi | $>$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<$ | < | < | < mosd | < mosd | < mosd | <mosd | full |
| m | m | m | os d | Os d | osd | $\mathrm{fi}=\mathrm{f}$ | di si oi mi > |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | os d | os d | ofds = si di fi oi | di si oi | di si oi mi > |
| fi | fi | 0 | os d | fi | di si oi | di sioi | di si oi mi pi |
| di | di | O fi di | o fi di si=s dfoi | di | di sioi | di sioi | di si oi mi pi |
| si | si | $\mathbf{s}=\mathbf{s i}$ | d f oi | di | oi | mi | $>$ |
| = | $=$ | s | d | f | oi | mi | $>$ |
| S | s | s | d | pmo | d f oi | mi | $>$ |
| d | d | d | d | $<\mathrm{mossd}$ | d f oi mi > | > | > |
| $f$ | f | d | d | $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{fi}$ | oi mi > | > | $>$ |
| Oi | oi | d f oi | d f oi | di si oi | oi mi > | > | $>$ |
| mi | mi | d f oi | d f oi | mi | > | $>$ | > |
| $>$ | $>$ | d f oi mi > | d f oi mi > | < | > | > | $>$ |

Note that only 27 disjunctive combinations out of 8192 possible combinations occur.

## Conceptual Neighborhoods

C. Freksa: Conceptual Neighborhood and its role in temporal and spatial reasoning. In: M. Singh, L. Trave-Massuyes (eds.), Proc. IMACS Workshop on Decision Support Systems and Qualitative Reasoning, North-Holland, 1991, 181-187

In order to permit coarse reasoning, it is useful to identify "neighboring" interval relations.

Two relations between pairs of events are conceptual neighbors if they can be directly transformed into one another by continuous deformation (i.e. shortening or lengthening) of the events.

Conceptual neighborhood structure:


Note that entries of the composition table contain only conceptual neighbors.

## Coarse Reasoning

Generate new "primitive" relations for coarse reasoning by combining conceptual neighbors out of the 13 original primitive relations.
$\{<\}\{\mathrm{m}\}\{\mathrm{ofidi}\{\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{si}\}\{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{foi}\}\{\mathrm{os} \mathrm{d}\}\{\mathrm{fi}=\mathrm{f}\}\{\mathrm{di}$ si oi\} $\{\mathrm{mi}\}\{>\}$
The 10 coarse primitives generate a combination table for coarse inferences by disjunctive merging of rows and columns of the original table.

Example:
\{o fi di\} $X$ \{di sioi\} => \{mofidisi=sdfoimi\}
Reasoning within conceptual neighborhoods is monotonic:
If more information is added (i.e. disjunctive uncertainty removed), the refined result is contained in the coarse result.

## Constraint Satisfaction with Intervals (1)

Constraint graph for reasoning with time intervals
Nodes: time intervals
Arcs: disjunctions of interval relations
Example: Pouring-tea-into-cup
Assume that picking up a cup immediately precedes holding a cup, and pouring tea occurs during holding a cup.


Possible relations between pick-up-cup and pour-tea can be inferred using the composition table.

## Constraint Satisfaction with Intervals (2)



As observations of a specific scene become available, arc labels are pruned and remaining constraints can be checked for arc consistency.
Example 1: hold-cup overlaps pour-tea
=> inconsistent with model
Example 2: pick-up-cup meets pour-tea
=> di and fi relations between hold-cup and pour-tea are pruned
In general, interval constraint nets can be pruned by checking all triangles against the combination table until no more changes occur.


But: Arc consistency does not guarantee global consistency!

## Spatial Constraints

In scene interpretation, spatial constraints restrict the relative position and orientation of parts of aggregates.

Example:
Relative positions of plate, saucer and table boundary as parts of a cover


Several ways to represent 2D spatial constraints:

- Bounding box constraints
- Topological relations
- Various other qualitative spatial representations
- Grid region constraints
- Probability distributions


## Bounding Box Constraints

A bounding box is an approximate 2 D shape description


A bounding box is specified by xmin, xmax, ymin, ymax relativ to a reference coordinate system

- object-centric vs. global reference coordinate system
- position constraints in terms of relative distances between bounding-box boundaries
- orientation constraints in terms of angles between object axes


## Extending Discrete Time-point Algebra to 2D-Space

Use linear inequalities independently in two spatial dimensions. (Bounding boxes must be parallel to reference system.)


Pairwise constraints can be combined to (quantitative) interval constraints:
plate.x-end in saucer.x-beg $+[810]$ plate.y-end in saucer.y-beg + [35] plate.x-beg in table. $x$-beg $+[0$ inf $]$ plate.x-end in table.x-end $+[$-inf 0$]$ plate.y-beg in table.y-beg + [05]


## Extending Allen's Interval Algebra to 2D-Space

Use Allen's interval relations independently for two spatial dimensions.
Example:


Interval relations are often not restrictive enough to describe the variability of realistic spatial configurations.

Example: Cover configuration

plate om saucer plate dod table plate >|s fork plate <|s knife saucer dod table fork did table knife dd table

Also covered by this description:


## Topological Relations in RCC8

RCC8: Region Connection Calculus with 8 topological binary relations
Elementary relations (disjunct):

- disconnected
- externally connected

dc

ec
- partial overlap
- tangential proper part
- non-tangential proper part
- equal
po
typ topi
nip ntppi
eq


## Composed relations:

- spatially_related
- connected
- overlapping
- inside


## RCC8 Conceptual Neighborhoods

## Conceptual neighborhoods:



Observations of two regions at two time points must be connected by transitions along a conceptual-neighborhood path.

## RCC8 Composition Table

Table entries denote possible relations $R_{A C}$, given $R_{A B}$ and $R_{B C}$

| - | DC | EC | PO | TPP | NTPP | TPPi | NTPPi | EQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DC | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC,PO } \\ \text { TPP,NTPP } \\ \text { TPPi,= } \\ \text { NTPPi } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC } \\ \text { PO } \\ \text { TPP } \\ \text { NTPP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC } \\ \text { PO } \\ \text { TPP } \\ \text { NTPP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC } \\ \text { PO } \\ \text { TPP } \\ \text { NTPP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC } \\ \text { PO } \\ \text { TPP } \\ \text { NTPP } \end{gathered}$ | DC | DC | DC |
| EC | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC,PO } \\ \text { TPPi } \\ \text { NTPPi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC,PO } \\ =, \mathrm{TPP} \\ \text { TPPi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC,PO } \\ \text { TPP } \\ \text { NTPP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EC,PO } \\ \text { TPP } \\ \text { NTPP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PO } \\ \text { TPP } \\ \text { NTPP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{DC} \\ & \mathrm{EC} \end{aligned}$ | DC | EC |
| PO | $\begin{gathered} \text { DC,EC,PO } \\ \text { TPPi } \\ \text { NTPPi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC,PO } \\ \text { TPPi } \\ \text { NTPPi } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DC,EC,PO } \\ \text { TPP,TPPi,= } \\ \text { NTPP,NTPPi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PO } \\ \text { TPP } \\ \text { NTPP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PO } \\ \text { TPP } \\ \text { NTPP } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC,PO } \\ \text { TPPi } \\ \text { NTPPi } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DC,EC,PO } \\ \text { TPPi } \\ \text { NTPPi } \end{gathered}$ | PO |
| TPP | DC | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DC } \\ & \text { EC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC } \\ \text { PO,TPP } \\ \text { NTPP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TPP } \\ \text { NTPP } \end{gathered}$ | NTPP | $\begin{gathered} \text { DC,EC,PO } \\ =, \text { TPP } \\ \text { TPPi } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC,PO } \\ \text { TPPi } \\ \text { NTPPi } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | TPP |
| NTPP | DC | DC | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC } \\ \text { PO } \\ \text { TPP } \\ \text { NTPP } \end{gathered}$ | NTPP | NTPP | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC } \\ \text { PO } \\ \text { TPP } \\ \text { NTPP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC,PO } \\ \text { TPP,TPPi } \\ \text { NTPP,= } \\ \text { NTPPi } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | NTPP |
| TPPi | $\begin{gathered} \text { DC,EC,PO } \\ \text { TPPi } \\ \text { NTPPi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EC,PO } \\ \text { TPPi } \\ \text { NTPPi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PO } \\ \text { TPPi } \\ \text { NTPPi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PO,= } \\ \text { TPP } \\ \text { TPPi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PO } \\ \text { TPP } \\ \text { NTPP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{TPPi} \\ \mathrm{NTPPi} \end{gathered}$ | NTPPi | TPPi |
| NTPPi | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DC,EC,PO } \\ \text { TPPi } \\ \text { NTPPi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PO } \\ \text { TPPi } \\ \text { NTPPi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PO } \\ \text { TPPi } \\ \text { NTPPi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PO } \\ \text { TPPi } \\ \text { NTPPi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PO,TPP,= } \\ \text { NTPP,TPPi } \\ \text { NTPPi } \end{gathered}$ | NTPPi | NTPPi | NTPPi |
| EQ | DC | EC | PO | TPP | NTPP | TPPi | NTPPi | EQ |

## Spatial Relations as Grid-Point Sets

A grid region describes the possible locations (implicit OR) of a point $r$ relativ to a reference point and a reference orientation of an object $o$.


Relative location is a relation OxR
between an object o and some point $r$.

Example:
$\mathrm{O}=$ plate
r = center-of-gravity of saucer

## Qualitative Spatial Relations as Grid-Point Sets



Grid-point sets constitute qualitative location concepts

Constraint propagation is possible via set relationships

Example:
(SE plate saucer) ^
(FRONT plate saucer)
=> inconsistent

## Probability Distributions

Constraints on the coordinates ( $x, y$ ) of a point relative to a reference coordinate system can be expressed in terms of a probability distribution (density).


Probabilistic reasoning will be treated later.

