### Situation Calculus

- State-based representation where the states are denoted by terms.
- A situation is a term that denotes a state.
- There are two ways to refer to states:
  - *init* denotes the initial state
  - $\rightarrow$  do(A, S) denotes the state resulting from doing action A in state S, if it is possible to do A in S.
- ➤ A situation also encodes how to get to the state it denotes.



## **Example Situations**

- init
- $\rightarrow$  do(move(rob, o109, o103), init)
- do(move(rob, o103, mail), do(move(rob, o109, o103), init)).
- ➤ do(pickup(rob, k1), do(move(rob, o103, mail), do(move(rob, o109, o103), init))).



## Using the Situation Terms

- Add an extra term to each dynamic predicate indicating the situation.
- **Example Atoms:**

```
at(rob, o109, init)

at(rob, o103, do(move(rob, o109, o103), init))

at(k1, mail, do(move(rob, o109, o103), init))
```



## Axiomatizing using the Situation Calculus

- You specify what is true in the initial state using axioms with *init* as the situation parameter.
- Primitive relations are axiomatized by specifying what is true in situation do(A, S) in terms of what holds in situation S.
- Derived relations are defined using clauses with a free variable in the situation argument.
- Static relations are defined without reference to the situation.



#### **Initial Situation**

sitting\_at(rob, o109, init).

sitting\_at(parcel, storage, init).

sitting\_at(k1, mail, init).

### **Derived Relations**

 $adjacent(P_1, P_2, S) \leftarrow$   $between(Door, P_1, P_2) \land$  unlocked(Door, S). adjacent(lab2, o109, S).

Par Tar

## When are actions possible?

poss(A, S) is true if action A is possible in situation S.

```
poss(putdown(Ag, Obj), S) \leftarrow carrying(Ag, Obj, S).
```

$$poss(move(Ag, Pos_1, Pos_2), S) \leftarrow$$
 $autonomous(Ag) \land$ 
 $adjacent(Pos_1, Pos_2, S) \land$ 
 $sitting\_at(Ag, Pos_1, S).$ 



## **Axiomatizing Primitive Relations**

Example: Unlocking the door makes the door unlocked:

$$unlocked(Door, do(unlock(Ag, Door), S)) \leftarrow poss(unlock(Ag, Door), S).$$

Frame Axiom: No actions lock the door:

$$unlocked(Door, do(A, S)) \leftarrow$$
 $unlocked(Door, S) \land$ 
 $poss(A, S).$ 



## Example: axiomatizing carried

Picking up an object causes it to be carried:

$$carrying(Ag, Obj, do(pickup(Ag, Obj), S)) \leftarrow poss(pickup(Ag, Obj), S).$$

Frame Axiom: The object is being carried if it was being carried before unless the action was to put down the object:

$$carrying(Ag, Obj, do(A, S)) \leftarrow$$

$$carrying(Ag, Obj, S) \land$$

$$poss(A, S) \land$$

$$A \neq putdown(Ag, Obj).$$



# Example: sitting\_at

An object is sitting at a location if:

- 7 in object is sitting at a focation in.
- it moved to that location:
  - $sitting\_at(Obj, Pos, do(move(Obj, Pos_0, Pos), S))$
  - $poss(move(Obj, Pos_0, Pos).$
- it was put down at that location:
- sitting at(Ohi Pos do(nu
- $sitting\_at(Obj, Pos, do(putdown(Ag, Obj), S)) \leftarrow poss(putdown(Ag, Obj), S) \land$
- at(Ag, Pos, S).
- it was at that location before and didn't move and wasn't picked up.

### More General Frame Axioms

The only actions that undo *sitting\_at* for object *Obj* is when *Obj* moves somewhere or when someone is picking up *Obj*.

$$sitting\_at(Obj, Pos, do(A, S)) \leftarrow$$
 $poss(A, S) \land$ 
 $sitting\_at(Obj, Pos, S) \land$ 
 $\forall Pos_1 \ A \neq move(Obj, Pos, Pos_1) \land$ 
 $\forall Ag \ A \neq pickup(Ag, Obj).$ 

The last line is equivalent to:

$$\sim \exists Ag \ A = pickup(Ag, Obj)$$



which can be implemented as

$$sitting\_at(Obj, Pos, do(A, S)) \leftarrow$$

$$\cdots \land \cdots \land \cdots \land$$

$$\sim is\_pickup\_action(A, Obj).$$

with the clause:

$$is\_pickup\_action(A, Obj) \leftarrow$$

$$A = pickup(Ag, Obj).$$

which is equivalent to:



### STRIPS and the Situation Calculus

- Anything that can be stated in STRIPS can be stated in the situation calculus.
- The situation calculus is more powerful. For example, the "drop everything" action.
- To axiomatize STRIPS in the situation calculus, we can use holds(C, S) to mean that C is true in situation S.

$$holds(C, do(A, W)) \leftarrow$$
 $preconditions(A, P) \land The preconditions of$ 
 $holdsall(P, W) \land of A all hold in W.$ 
 $add\_list(A, AL) \land C$  is on the
 $member(C, AL).$ 
 $holds(C, do(A, W)) \leftarrow$ 
 $preconditions(A, P) \land The preconditions of$ 
 $holdsall(P, W) \land of A all hold in W.$ 
 $delete\_list(A, DL) \land C$  isn't on the
 $notin(C, DL) \land delete\_list of A.$ 
 $holds(C, W).$ 
 $C \land holds(C, W).$ 
 $C \land holds(C, W).$