## Grouping

To make sense of image elements, they first have to be grouped into larger structures.

Example: Grouping noisy edge elements into a straight edge


## Essential problem:

Obtaining globally valid results by local decisions

Important methods:

- Fitting
- Clustering
- Hough Transform - locally compatible
- Relaxation

- globally incompatible


## Cognitive Grouping

The human cognitive system shows remarkable grouping capabilities

...... grouping into virtual motion

It is worthwhile wondering which cognitive grouping rules should also be followed by machine vision

## Fitting Straight Lines

Why do we want to discover straight edges or lines in images?

- Straight edges occur abundantly in the civilized world.
- Approximately straight edges are also important to model many natural phenomena, e.g. stems of plants, horizon at a distance.
- Straightness in scenes gives rise to straighness in images.
- Straightness discovery is an example of constancy detection which is at the heart of grouping (and maybe even interpretation).


We will treat several methods for fitting straight lines:

- Iterative refinement
- Mean-square minimization
- Eigenvector analysis
- Hough transform


## Straight Line Fitting by Iterative Refinement

Example: Fitting straight segments to a given object motion trajectory
$\mathrm{P}_{1}$


Algorithm:
A: First straight line is $P_{1} P_{N}$
$B$ : Is there a straight line segment $P_{i} P_{k}$ with an intermediate point $P_{j}(i<j<k)$ whose distance from $P_{i} P_{k}$ is more than $d$ ? If no, then terminate.
$C$ : Segment $P_{i} P_{k}$ into $P_{i} P_{j}$ and $P_{j} P_{k}$ and go to $B$.

Advantage: simple and fast
Disadvantages: - strong effect of outliers

- not always optimal


0000000000000000

## Straight Line Fitting by <br> Eigenvector Analysis (1)

## Given: $\quad\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right) \quad i=1 \ldots N$

Wanted: Coefficients $c_{0}, c_{1}$ for straight line $y=c_{0}+c_{1} x$ which minimizes $\Sigma d_{i}{ }^{2}$


## Observation:

The optimal straight line passes through the mean of the given points. Why?
Let ( $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ ) be a coordinate system with the $x^{\prime}$ axis parallel to the optimal straight line.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { optimal straight line } & x^{\prime}=x_{0}^{\prime} \\
\text { error } & \Sigma \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}=\Sigma\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}-\mathrm{x}_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
\text { condition for optimum } & \delta / \delta \mathrm{x}_{0}\left\{\Sigma\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}-\mathrm{x}_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right\}=-2 \cdot \Sigma\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}-\mathrm{x}_{0}^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
& \mathrm{x}_{0}^{\prime}=1 / N \cdot \Sigma \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

A new coordinate system may be chosen with the origin at the mean of the given points:

$$
x_{j}^{\prime}=x_{j}-\frac{\sum x_{i}}{N} \quad y_{j}^{\prime}=y_{j}-\frac{\sum y_{i}}{N}
$$

Optimal straight line passes through origin, only direction is unknown.

## Straight Line Fitting by Eigenvector Analysis (2)

After coordinate transformation the new problem is:
Given: points $\underline{v}_{i}^{\top}=\left[x_{i} y_{i}\right]$ with $\Sigma \underline{v}_{i}=\underline{0} \quad i=1 \ldots N$
Wanted: direction vector $\underline{r}$ which minimizes $\Sigma d_{i}{ }^{2}$


$$
\text { Minimize } \quad d^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\underline{r}^{\top} \underline{v}_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\underline{r}^{\top} \underline{v}_{i}\right)\left(\underline{v}_{i}^{\top} \underline{r}\right)=\underline{r}^{\top} \underline{L} \underline{r} \text { scatter matrix }
$$

Minimization with Lagrange multiplier $\lambda$ :

$$
\underline{r}^{\top} S \underline{r}+\lambda \underline{r}^{\top} \underline{r}=>\text { minimum subject to } \underline{\underline{r}}^{\top} \underline{r}=1
$$

Minimizing $\underline{r}$ is eigenvector of $S$, minimum is eigenvalue of $S$.
For a 2D scatter matrix there exist 2 orthogonal eigenvectors:
$\mathbf{r}_{\text {min }} \quad$ orthogonal to optimal straight line $\underline{r}_{\text {max }} \quad$ parallel to optimal straight line

## Straight Line Fitting by Eigenvector Analysis (3)

## Computational procedure:

- Determine mean $\underline{m}$ of given points with $m_{x}=1 / N \Sigma x_{i}, m_{y}=1 / N \Sigma y_{i}, i=1 \ldots N$
- Determine scatter matrix $S=\left[\begin{array}{ll}S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\Sigma\left(x_{i}-m_{x}\right)^{2} & \Sigma\left(x_{i}-m_{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-m_{y}\right) \\ \Sigma\left(x_{i}-m_{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-m_{y}\right) & \Sigma\left(y_{i}-m_{y}\right)^{2}\end{array}\right]$
- Determine maximal eigenvalue

$$
\lambda_{1,2}=\frac{\mathbf{S}_{11}+\mathbf{S}_{22}}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathbf{S}_{11}+\mathbf{S}_{22}}{2}\right)^{2}-|\mathbf{S}|} \quad \lambda_{\max }=\max \left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right\}
$$

- Determine direction of eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_{\max }$

$$
S_{11} r_{x}+S_{12} r_{y}=\lambda_{\max } r_{x} \quad \text { by definition of eigenvector } \Rightarrow r_{y} / r_{x}
$$

- Determine optimal straight line

$$
\left(y-m_{y}\right)=\left(x-m_{x}\right)\left(r_{y} / r_{x}\right)=\left(x-m_{x}\right)\left(\lambda_{\max }-S_{11}\right) / S_{12}
$$

## Example for Straight Line Fitting by Eigenvector Analysis

What is the best straight-line approximation of the contour?


Given points: $\{(-50)(-30)(-1-1)(10)(32)(53)(72)(92)\}$
Center of gravity: $m_{x}=2 \quad m_{y}=1$
Scatter matrix: $S_{11}=168 S_{12}=S_{21}=38 \quad S_{22}=14$
Eigenvalues: $\lambda_{1}=176,87 \lambda_{2}=5,13$
Direction of straight line: $r_{y} / \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{x}}=0,23$
Straight line equation: $y=0,23 x+0,54$

## Grouping by Search



What is the "best path" which could represent a boundary in a given field of edgels?

The problem can be formulated as a search problem:
What is the best path from a starting point to an end point, given a cost function $c\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ ?
The variables $x_{1} \ldots x_{N}$ are decision variables whose values determine the path.
Unfortunately, the total $\operatorname{cost} \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ is in general not minimized by local minimal cost decisions $\min c\left(x_{i}\right)$, e.g. following the path of maximal edgel strength.
Hence search for a global optimum is necessary, e.g.

- hill climbing
- A* search
- Dynamic Programming


## Dynamic Programming (1)

Dynamic Programming is an optimization method which can be applied if the global cost $c\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ obeys the principle of optimality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N} \text { minimize } c\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \text {, } \\
& \text { then } a_{i}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{k} \text { minimize } c\left(a_{i}, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, a_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for a globally optimal path every subpath has to be optimal.
Example: In street traffic, an optimal path from $A$ to $B$ usually implies that all subpaths from $A^{\prime}$ to $B^{\prime}$ between $A$ and $B$ are also optimal.


Dynamic Programming avoids cost computations for all value assignments for $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}$.
If each $x_{i}, i=1 \ldots N$, has $K$ possible values, only $N^{*} K^{2}$ cost computations are required instead of $K^{N}$.

## Dynamic Programming (2)

Suppose $c\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=c\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+c\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+\ldots+c\left(x_{N-1}, x_{N}\right)$, then the optimality principle holds.

Dynamic Programming:

```
Step 1: Minimize c(x ( },\mp@subsup{x}{2}{})\mathrm{ over (x => f
Step 2: Minimize f
Step 3: Minimize f
\bullet
Step N: Minimize f
```

Example of a cost function for boundary search:
"Punish accumulated curvature and reward accumulated edge strengths"

$$
c\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=\sum_{k=1 . N}\left(1-s\left(x_{k}\right)\right)+\alpha \sum_{k=1 . N-1} q\left(x_{k}, x_{k+1}\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{s}\left(x_{k}\right) \\
& q\left(x_{k}, x_{k+1}\right)
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \text { edge strength } \\
& \text { curvature }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dynamic Programming (3)

Example: Find optimal path from left to right

optimaler Pfad?

optimaler Pfad!

- Find best paths from A, B, C to D, E, F, record optimal costs at D, E, F
- Find best paths from D, E, F to G, H, I, record optimal costs at G, H, I
etc.
- Trace back optimal path from right to left


## Grouping by Relaxation


relaxation

Relaxation methods seek a solution by stepwise minimization ("relaxation") of constraints.

Analogy with spring system:


Variables $x_{i}$ take on values (= positions) where springs are maximally relaxed corresponding to a state of global minimal energy. Hence relaxation is often realized by "energy minimization".

## Contexts for Edge Relaxation

Iterative modification of edge strengths using context-dependent compatibility rules.

Context types:


Each context contributes with weight $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{w}_{0} \cdot\{-1 \ldots+2\}$ to an interative modification of the edge strength of the central element.

## Modification Rule for Edge Relaxation

$P_{i}{ }^{k} \quad$ edge strength in position $i$ after iteration $k$
$Q_{i j}{ }^{k} \quad$ strength of context $j$ for position $i$ after iteration $k$
$w_{j} \quad$ weight factor of context $j$
$Q_{i j}{ }^{k}=\Pi P_{m}{ }^{k} \cdot \Pi\left(1-P_{n}{ }^{k}\right) \quad$ edge context strength
$m, n$ ranging over all supporting and not supporting edge positions of context $j$, respectively.
$P_{i}^{k+1}=P_{i}^{k} \frac{1+\Delta \mathbf{P}_{i}^{k}}{1+P_{i}^{k} \Delta \mathbf{P}_{i}^{k}} \quad$ edge strength modification rule
$\Delta P_{i}^{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{j} Q_{i j}^{k} \quad$ edge strength increment
There is empirical evidence (but no proof) that for most edge images this relaxation procedure converges within 10 ... 20 iterations.

## Example of Edge-finding by Relaxation



Landhouse scene from VISIONS project, 1982

## Histogram-based Segmentation with Relaxation (1)

## Basic idea:

Use relaxation to introduce a local similarity constraint into histogrambased region segmentation.

A Determine cluster centers by multi-dimensional histogram analysis


B Label each pixel by cluster-membership probabilities $p_{i}, 1=1 \ldots N$ $p_{i}=\frac{1 / d_{i}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} 1 / d_{k}} \quad \begin{aligned} & d_{i} \text { is Euclidean distance between the feature vector of } \\ & \text { the pixel and cluster center } \underline{c}_{i}\end{aligned}$

## Histogram-based Labelling with Relaxation (2)

C Iterative relaxation of the $p_{i}(j)$ of all pixels $j$ :

- equal labels of neighbouring pixels support each other
- unequal labels of neighbouring pixels inhibit each other

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{i}(j)=\sum_{k \in D(i)}\left[w^{+} p_{i}(k)-w^{-}\left(1-p_{i}(k)\right)\right] & D(j) \text { is neighbourhood of pixel } j \\
p_{i}^{\prime}(j)=\frac{p_{i}(j)+q_{i}(j)}{\sum_{n}\left(p_{n}(j)+q_{n}(j)\right)} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { new probability } p_{i}^{\prime}(j) \text { of pixel } j \text { to } \\
\text { belong to cluster } i
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

D Region assignment of each pixel according to its maximal membership probability $\max p_{i}$

E Recursive application of the procedure to individual regions

## Relaxation with a Neural Network

Principle:

cells influence each other's activation via exciting or inhibiting weights

## Relaxation labelling of 4 pixels:

pixel 1 pixel 2 pixel 3 pixel 4


- bidirectional inhibiting connection
- bidirectional exciting connection


## Hough Transform (1)

Robust method for fitting straight lines, circles or other geometric figures which can be described analytically.

Given: Edge points in an image
Wanted: Straight lines supported by the edge points
An edge point ( $x_{k}, y_{k}$ ) supports all straight lines $y=m x+c$ with parameters $m$ and $c$ such that $y_{k}=m x_{k}+c$.
The locus of the parameter combinations for straight lines through ( $x_{k}, y_{k}$ ) is a straight line in parameter space.



Principle of Hough transform for straight line fitting:

- Provide accumulator array for quantized straight line parameter combinations
- For each edge point, increase accumulator cells for all parameter combinations supported by the edge point
- Maxima in accumulator array correspond to straight lines in the image


## Hough Transform (2)

For straight line finding, the parameter pair ( $\mathbf{r}, \gamma$ ) is commonly used because it avoids infinite parameter values:

$$
x_{k} \cos \gamma+y_{k} \sin \gamma=r
$$



Each edge point ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ) corresponds to a sinusoidal in parameter space:


Important improvement by exploiting direction information at edge points:


## Hough Transform

Same method may be applied to other parameterizable shapes, e.g.

- circles $\left(x_{k}-x_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{k}-y_{0}\right)^{2}=r^{2}$

3 parameters $\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{y}_{0}, \mathbf{r}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { - ellipses } & \left(\frac{\left(x_{k}-x_{0}\right) \cos \gamma+\left(y_{k}-y_{0}\right) \sin \gamma}{a}\right)^{2} \quad 5 \text { parameters } x_{0}, y_{0}, a, b, \gamma \\
& +\left(\frac{\left(y_{k}-y_{0}\right) \cos \gamma-\left(x_{k}-x_{0}\right) \sin \gamma}{b}\right)^{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Accumulator arrays grow exponentially with number of parameters
=> quantization must be chosen with care

## Generalized Hough Transform

- shapes are described by edge elements ( $\mathrm{r} \theta \varphi$ ) relative to an arbitrary reference point ( $\mathbf{x}_{c} \mathbf{y}_{c}$ )
- $\varphi$ is used as index into ( $\rho \theta$ ) pairs of a shape description
- edge point coordinates ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ) and gradient direction $\varphi_{k}$ determine possible reference point locations
- likely reference point locations are determined via maxima in accumulator array

$\varphi_{1}: \quad\left\{\left(r_{11} \theta_{11}\right)\left(r_{12} \theta_{12}\right) \ldots\right\}$
$\varphi_{2}: \quad\left\{\left(r_{21} \theta_{11}\right)\left(r_{22} \theta_{12}\right) \ldots\right\}$
$\varphi_{\mathrm{N}}: \quad\left\{\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{N} 1} \theta_{11}\right)\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{N} 2} \theta_{12}\right) \ldots\right\}$
$\left(x_{k} y_{k} \varphi_{k}\right) \longmapsto\left\{\left(x_{c} y_{c}\right)\right\}=\left\{\left(x_{k}-r_{i}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \cos \theta_{i}\left(\varphi_{k}\right),\left(y_{k}-r_{i}(\varphi) \sin \theta_{i}\left(\varphi_{k}\right)\right)\right\}\right.$
counter cell in accumulator array

